

1616  
Ifw



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,193	08/15/2001	Masato Katayama	HIR-139	1385

7590 05/20/2005  
Lorusso & Loud  
440 Commercial Street  
Boston, MA 02109

EXAMINER

PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1616

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED  
MAY 26 2005  
OIPE/JCWS

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 09/931,193	Applicant(s) KATAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Alton N. Pryor	Art Unit 1616	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-11, 13, 15-18 and 20-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5, 6, 9, 11, 15-18, 20 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7, 8, 10, 13, 21, 22, 24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

2)

Art Unit: 1616

### DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1/6/05 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,6,9,11-18,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahmad et al (Physiologia Plantarum, 1987, 69(1), 137-40). Ahmad teaches a method of applying 4-chloroindole-3-acetic acid to pea cuttings (shoot, leaf) in order to promote root growth. It is inherent that the 4-Cl-IAA would be administered to the cuttings in a carrier (solvent). See abstract.

Ahmad teaches all that is recited in the claims except for the invention comprising the instant amount of 4-Cl-IAA and an automated sprinkling system or sprayer. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to determine the optimum concentration of 4-Cl-IAA. One would have been expected to do this in order to make the most effective invention for promoting root growth of rootless plant cuttings. With respect to the delivery of the composition as a spray, the prior art uses various methods to deliver chemicals to plant cuttings including the spraying.

Claims 5,6,9,11,15-18,20,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama (Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry,

Art Unit: 1616

Department, 2000, 64(4) 808-15). Katayama teaches a method of applying 4-chloroindole-3-acetic acid to cuttings in order to promote root growth. It is inherent that the 4-Cl-IAA would be administered to the cuttings in a carrier (solvent). See abstract.

Katayama teaches all that is recited in the claims except for the invention comprising the instant amount of 4-Cl-IAA and an automated sprinkling system or sprayer. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to determine the optimum concentration of 4-Cl-IAA. One would have been expected to do that in order to make the most effective invention for promoting root growth of rootless plant cuttings. With respect to the delivery of the composition as a spray, the prior art uses various methods to deliver chemicals to plant cuttings including the spraying.

#### ***Claim Objection***

Claims 7,8,10,13,22,21,24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the limitations of the instant claims.


#### ***Telephonic Inquiry***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Alton Pryor  
Primary Examiner  
AU 1616

TC1600

Organization Bldg./Room  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

REMPSEN

AN EMPLOYEE OF THE EMPLOYER


RECEIVED

MAY 26 2005

USPTO MAIL CENTER



\$ 00.37



LORJ440\* 0210933 36 05/23/05  
FORWARD TIME EXP. RETURN TO SENDER  
LORUSSO AND LOUD  
15 RYE ST STE 312  
PORTSMOUTH NH 03801-6846

RETURN TO SENDER

02109+1021-40-0039